

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,061	04/04/2005	John Sidney	2060.0330002/EKS/MM	7448	
26111 7590 6670)2009 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C. 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXA	EXAMINER	
			BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/530.061 SIDNEY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit LYNN BRISTOL 1643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.6.7.9.10.13.18 and 20-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,6,7,9,10,13,18 and 20-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/17/09 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 18 and 20-29 are all the pending claims for this application.
- 3. Claims 5, 8, 11, and 12 were cancelled in the Response of 7/14/08.
- 4. The examiner of record is grateful to Applicants' representative, Mita Mukherjee, for having brought to her attention on 6/22/09, the erroneous filing of an unrelated Office Action dated 4/1/09 in response to the Response of 2/17/09. Pursuant to the telephone interview with Ms. Mukherjee of 6/29/09, the Office Action of 4/1/09 is hereby vacated. The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience this may have caused Applicants and their representatives.
- 5. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 18 and 20-29 are all the pending claims in this application.
- 6. This Office Action contains new grounds for rejection.

Art Unit: 1643

Withdrawal of Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

Written Description

7. The rejection of Claims 1, 5-13, 18 and 20-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn. Applicants amendment to the claims to delete the phrase "one or more peptides thirteen residues or less in length" overcomes the rejection as stated in the Advisory Action of 9/10/08.

Enablement

8. The rejection of Claims 5, 8, 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement is withdrawn.

The claims are limited to the peptides in the Markush group of Claim 1 having the biological property of being a CTL epitope and which are enabled by the specification. Accordingly, those peptides in the Markush group are fully enabled. Applicants' allegations on pp. 1-7 of the Response of 2/17/09 have been considered and are found persuasive.

New Grounds for Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1643

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Written Description

9. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 18 and 20-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 and the dependent claims thereof are interpreted as being drawn to a composition comprising "one or more peptides" originating from any source or any kind of protein so long as the peptide(s) is eight to thirteen residues in length. Additionally, at least one of the peptides in the composition is the CTL epitope of SEQ ID NO: 53, 55, 139, 502, 527, 627, 673, 807, 846, 859 or admixtures thereof. Thus, with the exception of the peptides of SEQ ID NO: 53, 55, 139, 502, 527, 627, 673, 807, 846, 859 or admixtures thereof, the remaining genus of peptides falling within the scope of composition Claim 1 is unlimited in both structure and function. This is a reach-through claim for the genus of all peptides having the only requirement that it is eight to thirteen residues in length. The genus of peptides does not find written support in the specification and prior art.

Claim 18 requires that the peptides comprising the composition of Claim 1 would be useful as a diagnostic reagent, and the specification does not support the genus of peptides meeting this criterion.

Under the Written Description Guidelines (66 FR 1099 (Jan. 5, 2001); 1242 O.G.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,061

Art Unit: 1643

168 (Jan. 30, 2001) revised training materials 3/29/08), the claimed invention must meet the following criteria as set forth.

a) Actual reduction to practice: The specification discloses compositions comprising immunogenic peptides [0008: 0087: 0090: 0092], and having binding motifs specific for MHC molecules [0008]. The at least one of the one or more peptides is a peptide from an antigen selected from the group consisting of prostate specific antigen (PSA), prostate specific membrane antigen (PSM), hepatitis B virus (HBV) antigen. hepatitis C virus (HCV) antigen, malignant melanoma antigen (MAGE), Epstein Barr virus, human immunodeficiency type-1 (HIV-1), human immunodeficiency type-2 (HIV-2), papilloma virus, Lassa virus, mycobacterium tuberculosis (MT), p53, murine p53 (mp53), CEA, HER2/neu, and tyrosine kinase related protein (TKP) (Claim 13 in the PGPub). Tables 11-29 define: HLA-A1 allele-binding peptides (Table 11); HLA-A2 allele-binding peptides (Table 13); HLA-A3 allele-binding peptides (Table 15); HLA-A24 allele-binding peptides (Table 17); HLA-B7 allele-binding peptides (Table 19); HLA-B44 allele-binding peptides (Table 21); HLA-DQ allele-binding peptides (Table 23); HLA-DR allele-binding peptides (Table 25); and murine MHC class I allele-binding peptides (Table 28) and their respective binding affinities.

The specification does not support compositions comprising just any peptide of eight to thirteen residues in length from just any protein. The peptides of the invention are at a minimum immunogenic and are more specifically MHC binding.

 b) Disclosure of drawings or structural chemical formulas: the specification and drawings do not show that applicant was in possession of the genus of just any peptide

Art Unit: 1643

of eight to thirteen residues in length from just any protein that is included in the composition.

- c) Sufficient relevant identifying characteristics: the specification does not identify 1) a complete structure, ii) partial structure, iii) physical and/or chemical properties, or iv) functional characteristics coupled with correlation between structure and function for the genus of just any peptide of eight to thirteen residues in length from just any protein that is included in the composition.
- d) Method of making the claimed invention: the specification teaches methods for identifying immunogenic, MHC (HLA)-binding epitopes from immunogenic proteins in the form of peptides that range in size from eight to thirteen residues.
- e) Level of skill and knowledge in the art: the screening of proteins for immunogenic epitopes having MHC binding activity and CTL activity was well established at the time of the invention.
- f) Predictability in the Art: it is predictable that Applicants could generate any peptide that ranges in size from eight to thirteen residues. It is unpredictable that just any one of the peptides would have a structure that conferred some property much less a property relevant to binding MHC (HLA) or being a CTL epitope absent a structure function correlation for the peptide. It is unpredictable that just any peptide would have a diagnostic function as a component in a diagnostic composition (See Enzo Biochem, 323 F.3d at 966, 63 USPQ2d at 1615; Noelle v. Lederman, 355 F.3d 1343, 1350, 69 USPQ2d 1508, 1514 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (Fed. Cir. 2004)("[A] patentee of a biotechnological invention cannot necessarily claim a genus after only describing a

Art Unit: 1643

limited number of species because there may be unpredictability in the results obtained from species other than those specifically enumerated."; "A patentee will not be deemed to have invented species sufficient to constitute the genus by virtue of having disclosed a single species when ... the evidence indicates ordinary artisans could not predict the operability in the invention of any species other than the one disclosed." In re Curtis, 354 F.3d 1347, 1358, 69 USPQ2d 1274, 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2004)).

Scholnick et al (Trends in Biotechnology, 18(1):34-39, 2000; cited in the PTO 892 form of 1/14/08) teach that the skilled artisan is well aware that assigning functional activities for any particular protein or protein family based on sequence homology is inaccurate, in part because of the multifunctional nature of proteins (e.g., "Abstract" and "Sequence-based approaches to function prediction", page 34). Even in situations where there is some confidence of a similar overall structure between two proteins, only experimental research can confirm the artisan's best guess as to function of the structurally related protein (see in particular "Abstract" and Box 2).

Applicants have not demonstrated with sufficient evidence the genus of just any peptide of eight to thirteen residues in length from just any protein that is included in the composition much less where the peptide is a diagnostic peptide comprised in a diagnostic composition.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,061

Art Unit: 1643

11. The closest reference art found to read on the CTL epitope of SEQ ID NO: 53, 55, 139, 502, 527, 627, 673, 807, 846, or 859 is Grey et al. (10/817,970 (priority to 4/6/04)) and Baker et al. (11/027,670 (filed 1/3/05)). The references are not effective prior art as Applicants' priority for the sequences to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/416,207 (filed 10/3/02) antedates the references.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynn Bristol whose telephone number is 571-272-6883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:00, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1643

Examiner, Art Unit 1643

Temporary Full Signatory Authority